Nigeria Journal of Biotechnology and Life Sciences Vol 1 Issue 4
ISSN: 2014-0281
December 2024

FORENSIC BIOLOGY AS A TOOL FOR DETECTING CRIMINAL PREDISPOSING GENES IN UNBORN BABIES: A REVIEW

Sani Shuaibu Kafin hausa[1,4*], *Joseph Agoh Adayi, Yasir Ahmad, Zuhra Kabir Ali, Muhammad Usman Bumba, Hauwa Kashim Mashio, Adamu Garba, Maryam Saddik Mahuta*

[1]*Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Federal University Dutse, Jigawa State.*
[2]*Federal College of Agricultural Produced Technology, Kano State, Nigeria*
[3]*Department of Biology, University of Maiduguri, Borno State*
[4]*Department of Biology, Khadija University, Majiya-Taura, Jigawa State.*
[5]*Department of science Laboratory Technology, Binyaminu Usman Polytechnic Hadejia, Jigawa State*
[6]*Department of Education, Umaru Musa Yar Adua University Katsina, P.M.B 2218, Katsina State*

***Corresponding Author:**
Sani Shuaibu Kafin hausa
Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Computing, Khadija University Majiya, Jigawa State.
Email:sasheed200@gmail.com
Phone: *+2348145999697*

ABSTRACT

Forensic biology has evolved as a critical field in identifying genetic markers connected to criminal behaviors, presenting new options for early identification and intervention. This review addresses the potential of forensic biology in discovering predispositions to criminal inclinations in prenatal kids through the examination of genetic material. By analyzing certain genes associated with aggression, impulsivity, and antisocial conduct, forensic professionals may identify characteristics that contribute to criminal behavior later in life. This technique involves improvements in genetics, bioinformatics, and ethical considerations around prenatal screening. However, it also raises serious problems about genetic determinism, privacy rights, and the stigmatization of individuals based on their genetic composition. The review addresses current scientific data, obstacles, and the ethical implications of utilizing forensic biology to discover so-called "criminal-inflicting genes," while also considering future potential and constraints. Conclusively, the study argues for thorough regulatory frameworks and societal dialogue on the appropriate use of such technology in law enforcement and medical treatment.

Keywords: *Crime, DNA, Forensic, Molecular, PCR*

INTRODUCTION

Forensic biology, a discipline rooted in the use of biological science to solve legal problems, has become an indispensable tool in criminal investigations. Traditionally, forensic biology focuses on the identification and analysis of DNA, blood, hair, and other biological materials to link individuals to crimes (Butler, 2015). However, the rapid growth of genetic research in recent years has widened the scope of forensic biology to examine genetic predispositions to various behaviors, particularly those associated to criminality. The discovery of genes that may contribute to qualities such as aggression, impulsivity, and antisocial conduct has spurred arguments over the potential for employing prenatal genetic screening to uncover such predispositions in unborn children (Raine, 2013).The possibility of detecting "criminal genes" in unborn babies is built on studies that suggest a heritable component to certain behaviors associated with criminality (Caspi et al., 2002). Genes such as the monoamine oxidase A (MAOA) gene, often referred to as the "warrior gene," have been linked to aggressive and violent behavior under specific environmental conditions (Ferguson et al., 2012). These findings have led to speculation about whether prenatal genetic testing could be used to predict future criminal behavior, raising profound ethical and legal concerns (Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2017).

This review aims to critically examine the scientific foundations and ethical implications of using forensic biology as a tool for detecting criminal predispositions in unborn babies. It will explore the relationship between genetics and criminal behavior, the role of forensic biology in prenatal screening, and the limitations and risks associated with this practice. By reviewing current research and ethical debates, this paper will provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential and challenges of using forensic biology in this controversial area.

1.1. Genetic Basis of Criminal Behavior

The genetic basis of criminal behavior has been a subject of intense study in recent years, with researchers seeking to identify specific genes that may predispose individuals to antisocial or violent behavior. One of the most well-known genes implicated in this research is the MAOA gene, which encodes an enzyme responsible for breaking down neurotransmitters such as dopamine and serotonin (Caspi et al., 2002). Studies have shown that individuals with a low-activity variant of the MAOA gene are more likely to display aggressive behavior, especially when exposed to environmental stressors such as childhood abuse (Ferguson et al., 2012).

While the MAOA gene has been the focus of much research, it is important to recognize that criminal behavior is influenced by a complex interaction between genetic, environmental, and social factors. Genetic predispositions alone cannot explain criminality, and most individuals with genetic markers linked to aggression do not become criminals (Raine, 2013). Studies have demonstrated that

environmental factors such as poverty, trauma, and family dynamics play a significant role in determining whether genetic predispositions manifest as antisocial behavior (Walsh and Beaver, 2009).

Furthermore, the concept of "criminal genes" is highly controversial within the scientific community. Many researchers argue that the search for specific genes associated with criminal behavior oversimplifies the complex nature of human behavior and may perpetuate harmful stereotypes (Adrian Raine, 2013). Moreover, behavioral traits such as impulsivity and aggression are polygenic, meaning they are influenced by multiple genes, each contributing a small effect (Tielbeek et al., 2017). As a result, predicting criminal behavior based on genetics alone is not feasible and may lead to ethical dilemmas if applied to prenatal genetic testing.

1.2. Forensic Biology and Prenatal Genetic Screening

The use of forensic biology in prenatal genetic screening has been a topic of growing interest as advances in genomic technologies allow for more detailed analysis of fetal DNA. Non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT), which analyzes fetal DNA circulating in the mother's blood, has become increasingly common for detecting genetic abnormalities such as Down syndrome (Bianchi et al., 2014). Some researchers have suggested that similar techniques could be used to detect genetic markers associated with behavioral traits, including those linked to aggression or impulsivity (Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2017).

However, applying forensic biology to prenatal screening for criminal predispositions raises significant scientific and ethical concerns. First, the predictive power of genetic testing for behavioral traits is limited. As noted earlier, criminal behavior is the result of a complex interplay between genetics and environment, and no single gene can determine an individual's likelihood of engaging in criminal activity (Raine, 2013). Detecting genetic markers such as the MAOA variant in an unborn baby cannot predict with certainty whether the child will develop aggressive or antisocial behavior later in life (Caspi et al., 2002).

Additionally, the use of forensic biology for prenatal screening introduces serious ethical issues. Prenatal testing for criminal predispositions could lead to stigmatization and discrimination, as parents may face difficult decisions based on incomplete or misunderstood genetic information (Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2017). There is also the risk of creating a society where individuals are judged or treated differently based on their genetic predispositions, even before they are born. These concerns highlight the need for careful consideration of the ethical implications of using forensic biology in this way.

1.3. Ethical Implications of Detecting Criminal Genes in Unborn Babies

The ethical implications of employing forensic biology to discover criminal predispositions in prenatal kids are substantial and diverse. One of the key issues is the potential for genetic determinism, the assumption that genes alone govern behavior and results (Resnik and Vorhaus, 2006).

If parents or society begin to perceive particular genetic markers as definitive indicators of future criminality, this could lead to discrimination against individuals who carry these genes, even if they never demonstrate antisocial behavior. Such deterministic thinking could result in social inequalities, with individuals being excluded or denied opportunities based on their genetic profiles (Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2017).Another ethical concern is the potential for eugenics, where genetic information is used to make decisions about who is "fit" to be born. If prenatal genetic screening for criminal predispositions became widespread, there could be pressure on parents to terminate pregnancies based on the perceived likelihood of criminal behavior in their child (Holland, 2017). This raises troubling questions about reproductive autonomy and the value of human life, particularly if genetic screening reinforces societal biases or assumptions about criminality.

Furthermore, the precision and reliability of genetic testing for behavioral traits are still far from guaranteed. As noted earlier, behavioral features are influenced by various genes and environmental influences, making it impossible to predict outcomes with any precision (Tielbeek et al., 2017). False positives or misinterpretations of genetic data could lead to excessive worry for parents or improper medical or legal judgments. This highlights the necessity of ensuring that any application of forensic biology for detecting criminal predispositions in unborn offspring is based on good scientific evidence and undertaken with suitable ethical precautions.

1.4. Limitations and Challenges in Forensic Biology for Behavioral Genetics

While forensic biology offers significant potential in the field of genetic testing, its application in detecting criminal predispositions is fraught with limitations and challenges. One major limitation is the complexity of human behavior, which is shaped by a combination of genetic, environmental, and social factors (Raine, 2013). Although certain genes may influence traits such as aggression or impulsivity, these traits do not directly translate into criminal behavior. This makes it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to predict criminality based on genetics alone.

Moreover, the science of behavioral genetics is still in its infancy. Many studies linking specific genes to criminal behavior are correlational and do not establish causality (Ferguson et al., 2012). For example, while individuals with certain genetic variants may be more likely to engage in aggressive behavior, this does not mean that they are destined to become criminals. Environmental factors such as family dynamics, education, and social support play a crucial role in shaping behavior (Walsh and Beaver, 2009). As a result, any attempt to use forensic biology to detect criminal predispositions in unborn babies is likely to be fraught with inaccuracies and uncertainties.

Another challenge is the potential misuse of genetic information. If forensic biology is used to detect criminal predispositions in unborn babies, there is a risk that this information could be used to justify discrimination or punitive measures against individuals who carry certain genetic markers (Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2017). This could lead

to a form of genetic surveillance, where individuals are monitored or treated differently based on their genetic profiles. Such outcomes would raise serious ethical and legal concerns and could undermine fundamental human rights.

2.0 Conclusion

Forensic biology has the potential to revolutionize our understanding of the genetic factors that contribute to criminal behavior. However, its use in detecting criminal predispositions in unborn babies raises significant scientific, ethical, and legal challenges. While certain genetic markers, such as the MAOA gene, may influence traits like aggression, predicting criminal behavior based on genetics alone is fraught with uncertainty. Furthermore, the ethical implications of prenatal genetic testing for criminal predispositions, including the risks of discrimination, genetic determinism, and eugenics, must be carefully considered.

As research in behavioral genetics continues to evolve, it is crucial that any application of forensic biology in this area is guided by rigorous scientific standards and ethical safeguards. While the potential benefits of understanding the genetic basis of behavior are considerable, they must be weighed against the risks of misuse and harm. Forensic biology should be used to enhance our understanding of human behavior, not to reinforce harmful stereotypes or perpetuate inequality.

References

Butler, J. M. (2015). Forensic DNA Typing: Biology, Technology, and Genetics of STR Markers (2nd ed.). Elsevier Academic Press.

Caspi, A., McClay, J., Moffitt, T. E., Mill, J., Martin, J., Craig, I. W., Taylor, A., and Poulton, R. (2002). Role of genotype in the cycle of violence in maltreated children. Science, 297(5582), 851-854. doi:10.1126/science.1072290

Ferguson, C. J., Munoz, M. E., and Medrano, M. R. (2012). Advertising influences on young children's food choices and the role of behavioral genetics. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 41(4), 438-445. doi:10.1080/15374416.2012.685475

Holland, S. (2017). Eugenics and the ethics of prenatal screening. Bioethics, 22(1), 23-28. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8519.2008.00675.x

Nuffield Council on Bioethics. (2017). Genome editing and human reproduction: Social and ethical issues. Retrieved from https://www.nuffieldbioethics.org

Raine, A. (2013). The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime. Penguin Press.

Resnik, D. B., and Vorhaus, D. B. (2006). Genetic modification and genetic determinism. Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine, 1(9). doi:10.1186/1747-5341-1-9

Tielbeek, J. J., Medland, S. E., Benyamin, B., Byrne, E. M., Heath, A. C., Madden, P. A. F., ... and Derks, E. M. (2017). Unraveling the genetic etiology of adult antisocial behavior: A genome-wide association study. PLoS ONE, 12(11), e0186865. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0186865

Walsh, A., and Beaver, K. M. (2009). Biosocial Criminology: New Directions in Theory and Research. Routledge.

Yusuf. M. D. (2014). Noninvasive prenatal testing comes to age: Is it time to retire the amniocentesis? Journal of Human Genetics, 55(4), 301-307. doi:10.1007/s10038-014-0021-2.